A 1 2 - 180 1

official

This facsimile message and its contents are legally privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the addressee. If the reader of this message is not the Intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or other use of this message and its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the address shown below via the Postal Service. Thank You.

## ALSTON&BIRD LLP

3201 Beechleaf Court, Suite 600 Raleigh, NC 27604-1062

> 919-862-2200 Fax: 919-862-2260

### **TELECOPY** PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE

DATE:	March 22, 2004								
TO:	U.S. Patent & Trademark Office/Art Unit 1638 Attn: Examiner David H. Kruse								
FROM:	Eric J. Kron								
Re:	Appl. No.: 09/954,950 Applicant(s): Mahajan et al. Filed: September 18, 2001 Art Unit: 1638 Title: RICE MLH1 ORTHOLOG s an Amendment After Final (16 page)								
(Including	PAGES: g cover page)  RECEIVED PROPERLY, PI	OPERATOR:  LEASE NOTIFY ME IMMEDIATELY AT 919-862-2221							
USER CC	DDE: KRONE	FAX NUMBER: 703-872-9306							

VOICE NUMBER:

571-272-0799

CLIENT/MATTER:

REQUESTED BY:

035718/238971

Nora Martinez

Mol Gen Genet (1999) 262: 239-249

@ Springer-Verlag 1999

#### ORIGINAL PAPER

J. Adé · F. Belzile · H. Philippe · M.-P. Doutriaux

# Four mismatch repair paralogues coexist in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: *AtMSH2, AtMSH3, AtMSH6-1* and *AtMSH6-2*

Received: 23 February 1999 / Accepted: 5 May 1999

Abstract By using degenerate oligonucleotides based on the sequence homology between known MutS homologues, three MSH cDNAs belonging to the MSH2, MSH3 and MSH6 families, as defined in eukaryotes, have been isolated from Arabidopsis thaliana (ecotype Columbia). Genomic sequences for two of these genes (AIMSH2 and AIMSH6-2) were also isolated and determined, whereas the genomic sequence of AtMSH3 was obtained through the Arabidopsis sequencing project, as was the sequence of a second, distinct AIMSH6 homologue (AIMSH6-1). Comparative analysis of the AIMSH2 Landsberg erecta genomic sequence (reported here) and the previously described AIMSH2 Columbia allele revealed several polymorphisms, including the presence of a small, transposon-like element in the 3' untranscribed region of the former allele. Arabidopsis is the first organism to show such divergence of two AIMSH6 genes; the divergence is strongly supported by sequence data and phylogenetic analysis. Southern analysis revealed that the three genes we have isolated exist as single copies, and genetic mapping indicated that AIMSII2 and AIMSH6-2 both reside on chromosome III. Finally, expression of these three genes could only be observed in suspensions of A. thaliana cells. Such a cell suspension divides actively after subculture, and the AIMSH genes are most strongly expressed at this stage.

Communicated by R. Devoret

J. Adé · F. Belzile Département de Phytologie, 1243 Pavillon Marchand, Université Laval, Québec, Canada G1K 7P4

H. Philippe Laboratoire de Biologie Cellulaire (CNRS URA 2227), Bût. 444, Université Paris-Sud, F-91405 Orsay Codex, France

M.-P. Doutriaux (🖾)
Institut de Biotechnologie des Plantes (CNRS UMR 8618), Bât. 630, Université Paris-Sud, fr-91405 Orsay, France e-mail: murie-pascule doutriaux@ibu.u-psud.fr
Tel.: +33-169336349, Fax: +33-1-69336423

Key words Arabidopsis thaliana · Mismatch repair · Phylogenetic analysis

#### Introduction

The mismatch repair system (MMR) is essential for genetic stability, as it removes newly arising mutations from the genome and regulates recombination between related DNA sequences. MMR is responsible for the recognition and processing of mispaired bases that are spontaneously produced in the DNA as a consequence of replication errors, genetic recombination or deamination of 5-Me cytosines (Kolodner 1996). Repair of replication errors contributes to the conservation of the original information carried by the DNA. On the other hand, the regulation of the length of recombination intermediates (heteroduplexes) and whether or not they are edited by the MMR system define the degree to which recombination may occur between homologous but non-identical DNA sequences (Vulic et al. 1997). Recognition of mispaired bases in the heteroduplex region triggers the abortion of recombination events and prevents rearrangements between DNA sequences that are too divergent (Rayssiguier et al. 1989).

The MutHLS mismatch repair system in Escherichia coli is by far the best characterized. It derives its name from the three genes required to initiate MMR (MutH, MutL, MutS). The MutS protein is responsible for the detection of mismatches, and on binding it determines further processing and repair of mismatch-containing DNA molecules by the other components of the MMR. The MutH protein can recognize the newly replicated DNA strand, as it is transiently undermethylated at adenines in GATC sequences. Association of the MutL protein with MutS bound to the mismatch stimulates endonucleolytic cleavage of the unmethylated GATC sequence by MutH. Exonucleolytic degradation then proceeds to remove a stretch of up to 1000 bases around the mismatched base, followed by gap-repair synthesis and ligation of the correct DNA sequence (Modrich and

Lahue 1996). In the absence of a functional MMR system, bacteria show mutator or enhanced recombination proficiency phenotypes (Cox 1976; Feinstein and Low

1986; Rayssiguier et al. 1989).

Our current knowledge suggests that the general features of the bacterial MMR seem to be rather well conserved across all living organisms. Thus, mismatch repair activity or mismatch repair genes have been detected in a wide variety of eukaryotes (Modrich and Lahue 1996). Mismatch repair can be assayed following transfection of artificially constructed heteroduplex DNAs into yeast (Bishop et al. 1989; Kramer et al. 1989) and mammalian cells (Folger et al. 1985; Hare and Taylor 1985; Brown and Jirieny 1988), or after incubation in cell-free extracts from Drosophila (Holmes et al. 1990; Bhui-Kaur et al. 1998), Xenopus (Variet et al. 1996) or human (Holmes et al. 1990; Thomas et al. 1991). This mismatch repair activity is abolished in all available mutants that are deficient for the mismatch repair functions (Parsons et al. 1993; Umar et al. 1994; Luhr et al. 1998). Finally, homologues of MutS and MutL have been isolated from eukaryotes, but their number suggests a higher tevel of complexity of the MMR system, or the involvement of more specialized processes. Whereas in bacteria the MutS proteins seem to belong to two different lineages (MutS-I and MutS-II as defined by Eisen 1998), which are not necessarily both present in every bacterial species, gene duplication and functional specialization have led to the divergence of many MutS homologues in eukaryotes. Six MutS homologues coexist in yeast: Msh2, Msh3 and Msh6 are involved in nuclear MMR, Msh4 and Msh5 participate in mejotic recombination and finally, Msh1 is involved in MMR in the mitochondria (Reenan and Kolodner 1992b; Ross-Macdonald and Roeder 1994; Hollingsworth et al. 1995; Marsischky et al. 1996). Msh2, Msh3 and Msh6 homologues have since been found in many different organisms, including mammals, Drosophila, Neurospora and Arabidopsis (for review, see Kolodner 1996). These cukaryotic MSH genes are classified as belonging to the MutS-1 (MSH1, MSH2, MSH3 and MSH6) or the MutS-II lineage (MSH4 and MSH5) (Eisen 1998).

According to the current model for mismatch repair in eukaryotes Msh2 interacts with either Msh3 or Msh6 to form complexes with different recognition specifities: Msh2/3 complexes show a greater affinity for small insertions/deletions and Msh2/6 for single base mismatches (Kolodner 1996; Marsischky et al. 1996). This model is clearly supported by genetic and biochemical data (Reenan and Kolodner 1992b; Drummond et al. 1995; Palombo et al. 1995; Acharya et al. 1996; Marsischky et al. 1996; Genschel et al. 1998). Yeast msh2 mutants exhibit a mutator phenotype reminiscent of the mutS phenotype in bacteria, as do the msh3 msh6 double mutants (Marsischky et al. 1996). In humans, it is now well established that MMR deficiencies can lead to some hereditary cancer predisposition syndromes (Modrich and Lahue 1996). These cancers are associated with genetic instability, a phenotype that can be

detected as an increased mutation rate in reporter genes or in tracts of short repeated DNA sequences. Such microsatellite instabilities presumably result from slippage of the replication machinery, which generates short insertions/deletions that would normally be recognized and repaired by the MMR. They are specifically observed in msh2, msh3 or msh6 tumor cells and in individuals with germline mutations in these genes (Modrich and Lahue 1996; Risinger et al. 1996; Akiyama et al. 1997; Miyaki et al. 1997). Genetic variability and cancer susceptibility are also dramatically increased in mice carrying null mutations in the MSH2 or MSH6 gene (de Wind et al. 1995; Reitmair et al. 1995; Edelmann et al. 1997).

As well as its role in surveillance of replication fidelity, the MMR is also involved in regulating genetic recombination between homologous but non-identical DNA sequences (Rayssiguier et al. 1989). If the outcome of recombination depends on the formation of a heteroduplex intermediate, the presence of mismatches in the heteroduplex makes it an obvious target for the MMR. A functional MMR system acting upon the mismatches can destabilize the heteroduplex, thus impeding recombination between homeologous DNA sequences. Studies in bacteria, yeast and mouse cells have all shown that mutations that affect components of the MMR can markedly increase the amount of recombination between divergent DNA sequences (Rayssiguier et al. 1989; Selva et al. 1995; de Wind et al. 1995; Datta et al. 1997).

Not much is known about mismatch repair in plants. Plant cell extracts from pea can repair mismatched oligonucleotides (Cerovic et al. 1991) and an MSH2 homologue was recently isolated from Arabidopsis thatiana (Culligan and Hays 1997). With the aim of gaining insight into the role and activity of mismatch repair in plants, we have isolated homologues of MSH2, MSH3 and MSH6 from A. thaliana. Here, we provide a detailed characterization of these genes, which are expressed at detectable levels only in a mitotically active cell suspension derived from Arabidopsis.

#### Materials and methods

Growth of cell suspension

The cell suspension (ecotype Columbia) was initiated by Axelos et al. (1992) and is continuously propagated by weekly subculture (1.5 ml/25 ml) in Gamborg's 8-5 basal medium (G-5893, Sigma), 30 g/l sucrose, 200 mg/l naphthalene acetic acid. The cell suspension is grown under agitation in a growth chamber with a 16 h photoperiod. Harvested plant material was stored at -70° C before extraction of RNA.

RNA isolation and Northern analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the cell suspension in the presence of Trizol (Gibco BRL) after homogenizing the cells in liquid N<sub>2</sub>. Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA was isolated using the Dynabeads mRNA Direct kit (Dynat). Poly(A)<sup>+</sup> RNA was fractionated in 1% agarose/formaldehyde gels after denaturation (Sambrook et al. 1989). Gels

were transferred onto Nylon Hybond N $^+$  membranes (Amersham) by capillary blotting. After hybridization to radiolabelled probes, the filters were washed in 0.1  $\times$  SCC, 0.1% SDS at 62°C and autoradiographed.

#### Genomic DNA isolation and Southern analysis

Genomic DNA was extructed from the cell suspension according to Dellaporta et al. (1983). Enzymatic digestion and electrophoresis of DNA was done using standard techniques. DNA was transferred onto Nylon Hybond N\* membranes (Amersham) by capillary blotting. Genomic DNA sequences were isolated from a previously constructed Sau3AI partial genomic library (Doutriaux et al. 1998).

#### Radiolabelled probes

Radiolobelling of the probes with <sup>32</sup>P was carried out with the Stratagene Prime It II kit. Hybridization with <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabelled probes corresponding to the complete coding regions of the gene for translation elongation factor EF-laipha from bean (pCHA0041; Axelos et al. 1989), AIRADSI (Doutrinux et al. 1998) and the 28S ribosomal RNA gene (Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center) were performed at 62°C according to Church and Gilbert (1984).

#### Reverse transcription and PCR

A 1-μg aliquot of total RNA was reverse transcribed using the MMLV reverse transcriptase by priming with rundom oligonucleotides in the presence of dNTPs. Using two different sets of degenerate oligonucleotides (cach primer at 1 μM), PCR was performed using first-strand cDNA or genomic DNA in a final volume of 100 μl, in the presence of dNTPs (0.2 mM), 1 × PCR buffer and Taq polymerate (2 U). PCR parameters for oligonucleotides of set (touchdown PCR), were three rounds of three cycles each (94° C for 1 min, 45° C, 41° C and 37° C for 2 min each, and 72° C for 1 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94° C for 30 s, 48° C for 30 s, and 72° C for 30 s, with a final step for 10 min ut 72° C. For set2 oligonucleotides. PCR was carried out at 94° C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 95° C for 40 s, 45° C for 1 min, and 72° C for 1 min. The amplification products Ar23 and At24 (obtained with set1), and S5 and S8 (set2) were subcloned and sequenced. Of these clones, At24 (654 bp, derived from genomic amplification) was homologous to MSH2, S5 (351 bp) was homologous to MSH3, and At23 (523 bp) and S8 (351 bp) were identical (except for the presence of introns in At23 – which was amplified from genomic DNA), and homologous to MSH3.

#### Isolation of AtMSH2 cDNA

To obtain a cDNA clone for AIMSH2, ten pools of 10,000 clones each from library CD4-16 (coalype Columbia, pravided by the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center) were plated on 15-cm petri dishes. The amplified phages were collected in 3 ml of SM buffer (10 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, 50 mM TR15-HCl pH 7.5, 2% gelatin), of which I µl was used to perform PCR with the primers MSH2-1 and MSH2-2 that are specific for AIMSH2. One of the positive pools was used to generate ten pools of 1,000 clones each. PCR was used to identify positive pools of 1,000 phages from which two replicate filters were lifted. Two positive plaques were identified following hybridization with the A124 insert and in vivo excision of the insert (Stratagene) was used to obtain a plasmid version of one of the cloned cDNAs.

#### Isolation of the AIMSHI and AIMSHI cDNA sequences

Complete cDNA sequences were isolated using the procedure supplied with the Marathon cDNA amplification kit (Clontech).

Briefly, double-stranded cDNA was produced by reverse transcription of 2 µg of poly(A)<sup>†</sup> RNA from the cell suspension culture of Arabidopsis. Adaptors were ligated on each side of the cDNA. The ligated cDNA was then used as a template for 5' and 3' RACE PCR reactions in the presence of primers specific for the adaptor on one side (API and AP2), and specific for the targeted gene on the other side (see below), as defined from the previously isolated consensus regions S5 and S8. A 5' and a 3' fragment that overlap were thus produced for each gene.

#### Isolation of the complete coding sequence of AtMSH3

PCR performed on the ligated cDNA with primers 636 and AP1 for the 3' RACE PCR was followed by a second round of amplification with the nested primers AP2 and \$525, which resulted in a 2720-bp DNA fragment. Another primer (\$51) was designed that anneals closer to the 5' end and permitted the determination of 99 bp upstream of the ATG initiation codon. For the 3' RACE PCR, a first PCR reaction was performed with primers AP1 and 635, followed by a second round of amplification using the nested primers AP2 and \$523, which produced a DNA fragment of 890 bp. Both DNA fragments were subcloned into pGEM-T and sequenced. Since PCR amplification using the Expand Long Template PCR system (Bochringer-Mannheim) produced errors in the sequence, new oligonucleotides were designed to re-isolate these sequences by PCR, using the high-fidelity DNA polymerase Pfu. PCR with primers 155 and \$53 amplified a 1244-bp fragment (cloned into pUC18/Sma1). These two clones were ligated after digestion with BanHI, a unique site for which is present in the overlapping region. The complete reconstituted AIMSII3 coding sequence is 3246 bp long.

#### Isolation of the complete coding sequence of AIMSH6-2

The same procedure allowed the isolation of the AtMSH6-2 cDNA. For the 5' RACE PCR, primers 638 and API allowed the amplification of a 2889-bp DNA fragment. Primer S81 beloed define the 142 bp upstream of the ATG initiation codon. On the 3' side, RACE PCR was initially performed with primers S823 and API, and then with the nested primers 637 and AP2, to produce a 774-bp DNA fragment. As for AtMSH3, these fragments were cloned and sequenced. Due to PCR errors, re-isolation of this DNA sequence using the high-fidelity Pfu polymerase and the newly designed primers 158 and 583 (for the 5' side, 2182-bp clone 43 in pUC18/Sma1), and primers S82 and 258 (for the 3' side, 1379-bp clone 62 in pUC18/Sma1) was carried out. Clones 43 and 62 were digested with Xmml, for which a unique site is present in the overlapping region, and ligated. The complete reconstituted AtMSH6-2 coding sequence is 3330 bp long. An AtMSH6-2 genomic sequence was also isolated from a genomic DNA library constructed from a partial Saa3AI digest of DNA from the Arabidopsis cell suspension. A stretch of 8062 bp was sequenced that included the entire AtMSH6-2 gene, which was precisely colinear with the CDNA.

#### Oligonucleotides

Two sets of degenerate MSH primers were used. Set1 comprised MMR1 [5'-CGTGGATCCTCACIGGICCNAA(C/T)ATGGG-3'] and MMR2 (5'-GGTGAATTCGTGGAA(A/G)TGIGTNGC(A/G)AA-3'); Set2 (as in Reenan and Kolodner 1992a) consisted of MMR3 [5'-CTGGATCCACIGGICCIAA(C/T)ATG-3'] and MMR4 [5'-CTGGATCCA/G)TA(A/G)TGIGTI(A/G)C(A/G)AA-3']. As AIMSH2 specific primers, MSH2-1 (5'-TCCACTTACAT-CCGCCAGGTTGATG-3'), MSH2-2 (5'-AAACTTGTGA-GCCCAAGCTAAACC-3') and MSH2-3 (5'-AAACTTGTGA-GCTCGCTTGCCCC-3') were used.

The AIMSH3-specific primers were 185 (5'-ATCCCGG-GATGGGCAAGCAAAAGCAGCAGACGA-3'), 285 (5'-ATCCCGGGGTCAAAATGAACAAGTTGGTTTTAGTC-3'), 583 (5'-GACAAAGAGCGAAATGAGCCCCTTGG-3'), 852 (5'-GCACATCTGACTGTTCAAGCCCTCGC-3'), 852 (5'-GGATCG-GGTACTGGGTTTTGAGTGTGAGG-3'); 8525 (5'-AGGTTCT-GATTATGTGTGACGCTTTACTTA-3'), 8523 (5'-TCAGACA-GTATCCAGCATGGCAGAAGTA-3'), 635 (5'-GCACGTGCTTGATGCTGTTTTCAC-3') and 636 (5'-TGCTAGTGCCTCTTGCAAGCTCAT-3').

The AIMSH6-2 specific primers used were 189 (5' ATCCC

TAGAATCA'IGACAGG-37.

#### Genetic mapping of AIMSH2 and AIMSH6-2

Primers MSH2-1 and MSH2-3 were used to amplify a 1.3-kb segment of AIMSH2 from the ecotypes Landsberg erecta and Columbia. A polymorphic Mbol site was identified by sequence analysis and used to score 96 Recombinant Inbred (RI) lines resulting from a cross between Landsberg erecta and Columbia (Lister and Dean 1993). For AIMSH6, a RFLP between these two ecotypes was observed following digestion of genomic DNA with HindIII and hybridization with a PCR product of 2 kb. This polymorphism was scored in a subset (24) of the RI lines mentioned above. The MapMaker program (Lander et al. 1987) was used to determine the map positions of the AIMSH2 and AIMSH6-2 genes.

#### Phylogenetic analyses

Atignment of the sequences was carried out visually with the help of the ED program in the MUST package version 1.0 (Philippe 1993). Phylogenetic trees were constructed using maximum likelihood (ML), maximum parsimony (MP) and distance-based methods (Neighbor Joining, NI) with the programs PROTML version 2.3 (Adachi and Hasegawa 1996), PAUP version 3.1 (Swofford 1993) and NI in the MUST package version 1.0 (Philippe 1993), respectively. The distances were computed with the substitution model of Kimura (1983). MP trees were obtained by 100 random-addition heuristic search replicates, and ML trees by the Quick Add OTUs search, with the JTT model of amino acid substitution and retaining the 500 top-ranking trees (options—jf—q—n 500). Since it is important to take among-site rate variation into account in inferring phylogeny (Yang 1996), these 500 trees were further analysed with the PUZZLE program (Strimmer and von Haseder 1996) as user trees with eight Gamma rate categories. Bootstrap proportions were calculated by the analysis of 1000 replicates for MP and NI analysis. For ML analysis, bootstrap proportions were computed by using the RELL method (Kishino and Hasegawa 1989) owing to limitations on computing time.

#### Results

Isolation of the AIMH2, AIMSH3 and AIMSH6-2 cDNAs

Based upon a comparison of conserved amino acid sequences in known MutS-related proteins from various species, a set (set1) of degenerate oligonucleotides was designed; the second set (set2) used has been described previously (Reenan and Kolodner 1992a). PCR ampli-

fications were performed using either Arabidopsis (ecotype Columbia) genomic DNA or first-strand cDNA as a template. This allowed the isolation of consensus regions for three potential homologues of mutS. At24 (654 bp), At23 (623 bp), S5 (351 bp) and S8 (351 bp) were cloned, and sequence analysis indicated that they were homologous, respectively, to MSH2 (At24), MSH3 (S5) and MSH6 (At23, S8), three of the MSH genes previously described in yeast. After designing oligonucleatides specific for the genes of interest, two different approaches were taken in order to isolate their complete cDNA sequences. AtMSH2 was isolated from a cDNA library, after successive rounds of selection of positive clones by PCR; AIMSH3 and AIMSH6-2 were isolated following the Marathon cDNA amplification procedure, which relies on 5' and 3' RACE-PCR.

The AtMSH2 cDNA clone is 3039 bp long, and contains an ORF of 2811 nucleotides which is identical to that reported recently by Culligan and Hays (1997). The predicted protein is 937 amino acids long, with a predicted molecular weight of 105.5 kDa. The reconstituted AtMSH3 sequence is 3553 bp long and contains a 3246bp ORF with untranslated regions of 99 bp (5') and 144 bp (3') (EMBL/Genbank Accession No. AJ007791). The cDNA encodes a putative protein of 1081 amino acids, with a predicted molecular weight of 117.8 kDa. The AtMSH6-2 sequence is 3701 bp long and contains an ORF encoding 1109 amino acids (predicted molecular weight 122.5 kDa); its coding region starts 141 bp from the 5' end and the polyA tail starts 106 bp downstream from the TAA stop codon (EMBL/Genbank Accession No. AJ007792). A short sequence (351 bp) that is identical to the AIMSH6-2 consensus region has previously been described by Culligan and Hays (1997).

In the predicted protein sequences, the typical Msh functional domains can be found at the C terminal end (Fig. 1). Like other members of the MutS family, AtMsh2, AtMsh3 and AtMsh6-2 have the four motifs (AD); see Fig. 1) characteristic of an NTP-binding domain, as defined by Gorbalenya and Koonin (1990) for the superfamily of UvrA-related proteins. The second conserved domain, containing the residues essential for the formation of the Helix-Turn-Helix structure (HTH; see Fig. 1) is also present in the Arabidopsis Msh proteins

(Ohlendori et al. 1983).

Genomic clones were isolated for both AtMSH2 and AtMSH6-2. The AtMSH2 genomic clone which we report here was isolated from the ecotype Landsberg erecta (GenBank Accession No. AF109243) and it shows several differences from the previously reported genomic clone of the Columbia allele (Culligan and Hays 1997; GenBank Accession No. AF003005). While the number and position of all 12 introns are conserved in both alleles, numerous polymorphisms are seen both in the coding and non-coding regions (see Fig. 2). Within the 13 exons, a total of 11 single-base substitutions was observed, of which six are neutral and five lead to a change in the amino acid sequence. None of these changes occurs at a position which is conserved among the cukaryotic





Fig. 1 Sequence alignment of the conserved C-terminal regions of Msh2, Msh3 and Msh6 from human, yeast and Arabidopsis. Gaps are indicated by dashes. Residues that are conserved or identical in all seven Msh sequences are enclosed in light or dark gray baxes, respectively. Letters in blue, orange and green indicate positions that are conserved in the aligned Msh2, Msh3 and Msh6 sequences, respectively. The four characteristic motifs (A, B, C and D) of the NTP-binding domain are delimited in red and the Helix-Turn-Helix (HTH) motif is delimited in blue. Highlighted sequences were omitted from consideration in the phylogenetic analysis and identity calculations

MSH2 genes. The most striking difference between the two alleles, however, is a 239-bp insertion located 196 bp after the stop codon in the 3' untranscribed region of the Landsberg erecta allele. This insertion is flanked by a direct duplication of 5 bp and bears many but not all of the features of a miniature inverted-repeat transposable element (MITE), a class of small transposable elements recently reported in plants (Bureau and Wessler 1994b). This element differs from the Enigrant element, the only MITE reported to date in Arabidopsis (Casacuberta et al. 1998), and will be described in detail elsewhere (J. Ade and F. Belzile, unpublished).

A 8062-bp genomic region (Columbia ecotype) that encompasses the AtMSH6-2 gene was also defined and revealed the presence of 16 introns within the sequenced region (EMBL/Genbank Accession No. AJ007792). The sequence of the genomic region of AtMSH3 has been completed recently in the course of the Arabidopsis se-

quencing project. The 11 exons of this gene are found within a 5.5-kb stretch of the BAC clone M7J2 (Gen-Bank Accession No. AL022197). Southern analysis of restriction digests of genomic Arabidopsis DNA with probes corresponding to the genomic consensus regions for the genes AtMSH3 and AtMSH6-2 indicates that they are single-copy genes and do not cross-hybridize (see Fig. 3). The sizes of the detected fragments always correlated exactly with their expected sizes, whenever these could be determined from available sequence information. Surprisingly, a fourth MSH gene was encountered in the course of the Arabidopsis genome sequencing project (ID ATAF1308, product name T10M13.8). Sequence comparisons indicate that this gene is related to

Fig. 2 Polymorphisms between the Landsberg erecta and Columbia alleles of AtMSH2. In this diagram, exons are shown as open rectangles, whereas introns are drawn as n-shaped lines between exons. The positions of the start (ATG) and stop (TGA) codons as well as that of each of the 11 polymorphisms (all single base substitutions) located within the coding region are indicated above the gene. Position I refers to the first base of the genomic sequence of this allele (GenBank accession AF109243). Substitutions that lead to a change in amino acid sequence are indicated by asterisks. The nature (number of base substitutions or length of insertion/deletion) of the polymorphisms located in introns is indicated below the diagram. A 239-bp miniature inverted-repeat transposable element (MITE-like) insertion (hatched bas) flanked by a 5-bp duplication (-) at the insertion site was found in the 3' region of the Landsberg eracta allele only






Fig. 3 Southern analysis of the genomic AIMSH3 and AIMSH6-2 loci. Total Arabidopsis DNA from the Arabidopsis cell suspension culture was digested with BaniHI (B), Bg/II (Bg), EcoRI (E), HindIII (H), PsII (P) or XhoI (X). Positions of the size markers are shown on the left. The <sup>32</sup>P-radiolabelled probes used (S5 and S8, see Materials and methods) covered the consensus region of genes MSH3 and MSH6, respectively

the MSH6 family; since it was the first AIMSH6 to be released in the databases we have named it AIMSH6-1 in this study. Its complete genomic sequence includes 19 introns, of which only two coincide with introns in the AIMSH6-2 sequence (data not shown).

#### Genetic mapping

The chromosomal positions of the genes AtMSH2, At-MSH6-2 and AtMSH3 were determined. In the case of

AIMSH2, a CAPS marker was developed based on a polymorphic Mbol site present in Landsberg erecia DNA but absent from Columbia DNA. This marker was used to follow the segregation of this locus among a population of 96 recombinant inbred lines (L. erecta × Columbia). AtMSH2 was found to reside on the top arm of Arabidopsis chromosome III, 1.1 cM from locus m105. For AtMSH6-2, a RFLP mapping approach was used on a subset of 24 RI lines and this indicated that AIMSH6-2 was also located on chromosome III and cosegregates with ABI3. For AtMSH3, both CAPS and RFLP approaches were unsuccessful, owing to a lack of detectable polymorphism between the mapping ecotypes. However, the location of the recently sequenced BAC clone (M7J2) containing the AIMSH3 gene indicates that it maps on the top of chromosome IV (closest marker PG19). AtMSH6-1 also resides on chromosome IV, based on the mapping of BAC clone T10M13 (closest marker GT148).

#### Amino acid sequence comparisons

Initially, the sequence alignment was restricted to the conserved region which comprises the four NTP-binding domains in the C terminal region of the proteins (roughly 250 amino acids, see Fig. 1). As a general observation, the deduced sequences of the different AtMsh proteins are more similar to their human counterparts than to the yeast homologues. In the conserved region, AtMsh2 is 71% identical to the human Msh2 protein, AtMsh3 is 59% identical to the human Msh3 and At-Msh6-1 and AtMsh6-2 are, respectively, 55% and 54% identical to the human Msh6 (see Table 1). While these levels of identity are the highest observed, the Arabidopsis consensus sequences also resemble their respective orthologues (i.e. members of the same MutS family in other species) more than any paralogous Msh family members (i.e. members of other MutS families in the same species). The two Arabidopsis Msh6 amino acid sequences differ from each other, but still resemble each

Table 1 Percentage identity between Msh2, Msh3 and Msh6 sequences from humans, yeast and Arabidopsis

Protein Msh2	Species" Hs Sc	Percent identity <sup>b</sup>								
		73 (41) -	9 (36)							
Msh3	At Hs Sc At	47 (23) 47 43 (23) 42	7 (21) 45 (21) 2 (23) 40 (23) 6 (22) 50 (23)	52 (31) 59 (36)	- 50 (28)	_				
Msh6	Hs Sc A1-1 A1-2	46 (22) 45 44 (20) 42 45 (21) 43 46 (20) 44 Hs St	5 (21) 47 (23) 2 (20) 41 (21) 3 (21) 43 (21) 4 (22) 46 (21)	46 (24) 41 (24) 50 (25) 45 (24) H5	44 (23) 38 (24) 40 (23) 38 (17) Sc Msh3	46 (22) 42 (24) 44 (23) 43 (19) A1	51 (29) 55 (31) 54 (29) Hs	48 (29) 49 (28) Se Mah6	58 (29) At-1	_ Ai-2

<sup>&</sup>quot;Hs, human; Sc, yeast; At, Arabidopsis
"Values were calculated based on the 197 alignable residues as described in Fig. 1. Values in parentheses were calculated based on the 197 alignable residues as described in Fig. 1. Values in parentheses were calculated for the full-length proteins aligned using CLUSTALW

other (58% identity) more than the human or yeast Msh6. The three proteins described in this study are clearly not members of the Msh1 or Msh4 and Msh5 families, the mitochondrial and meiotic MutS homologues, respectively. Comparison of the full-length proteins corroborates these observations, although the alignment with CLUSTALW over their complete sequences should be considered less accurate since no further manual refinement was done. This analysis shows that AtMsh2 is 40% identical (61% similar) to the entire human Msh2 protein; AtMsh3 is 36% identical (54% similar) to the human Msh3 and AtMsh6-2 is 29% identical (44% similar) to its human counterpart (human Msh6 and AtMsh6-1 are 31% identical and 48% similar to each other). In all instances, the levels of identity and/ or similarity mentioned above are higher than for any other combination of compared proteins presented in Table 1. Furthermore, along the aligned protein sequences, some amino acid motifs or positions are found to be specifically conserved within each of the three Msh families and the Arabidopsis proteins also present these specific patterns (data not shown).

A phylogenetic study was performed using 197 unambiguously aligned amino acids within or around the consensus region at the C-terminal end (as in Fig. 1). All available Msh2, 3 and 6 sequences were analysed using a maximum likelihood (ML) method which takes into account the differential rate of amino acid substitutions among different sites in a protein (through the use of a gamma law). The pattern of the tree confirms the classification established based on degree of identity (Fig. 4). The three groups consisting of Msh2, Msh3 or Msh6 homologues are distinctly defined, and the Arabidopsis Msh sequences we isolated are firmly assigned to their respective groups. The evolutionary rate is lowest for Msh2, and highest for Msh3 and Msh6 proteins. In all three Msh subgroups, homologues from plants and animals (except for the Drosophila Msh2) tend to group together and are separated from Msh proteins from fungi. The occurrence of two intraspecies Msh6 homo-


logues that evolve independently may be restricted to Arabidopsis (or plants), since divergence of these two genes seems to have occurred after the divergence of plants and animals.

Conservation of a few intron positions between the two AtMSH6 genes reinforces this observation: if only strictly aligned intron positions are taken into account, two introns are found at exactly the same positions in both AtMSH6 genes (introns 5 and 14 of AtMSH6-2/introns 7 and 15 of AtMSH6-1); one of these sites also harbours an intron in the AtMSH3 gene (intron 14 of AtMSH6-2/intron 15 of AtMSH6-1/intron 10 of AtMSH3). None of these intron positions is shared by AtMSH2 or any other MSH6 (data not shown).

#### Expression studies

Expression of the different AtMSH genes was assessed by Northern analysis performed with poly(A)\* RNA (see Fig. 5). The size and the low expression level of these genes made it necessary to use poly(A)+ RNA, as their transcripts migrate in the same region as the 28S RNA, which makes the signal too diffuse to be detectable with confidence by autoradiography. Since the At-MSH genes are very poorly expressed in plant tissues (data not shown), we took advantage of an A. thaliana cell suspension (Axelos et al. 1992). This cell suspension is mitotically active: the cells grow exponentially for the first 5 days following inoculation, before entering the stationary phase; then the number of growing cells, as measured by their ability to form protoplasts, starts to decrease (data not shown). Northern analysis identified mRNAs of approximately 3.4 kb for AtMSH2, 3.5 kb for AIMSH3 and 3.7 kb for AIMSH6-2, in accordance with the sizes predicted from the isolated cDNAs (Fig. 5). On day 2, when the cells are in the early exponential growth phase, the AtMSH6-2 transcript is expressed at a higher level than at day 8; the same is true for AIMSH2 and AIMSH3, albeit to a lesser extent.

Fig. 4 Phylogenetic analysis of the 197 uligned amino acids from the conserved region of all available MSH2, MSH3 and MSH6 sequences. In such a tree the length of the horizontal branches is such that the evolutionary distance between two proteins is proportional to the total length of the horizontal branches that connect them (vertical branch lengths are arbitrary). Bootstrap values are shown at the nodes and the side har represents 10% sequence divergence






Fig. 5 Northern analysis of the MSH gene expression in Archidopsis suspension cultures. Aliquots (2 μg) of poly(A) <sup>1</sup> RNA were loaded in lanes 1 and 2; 1 μg of total RNA was loaded in lane 3. RNA was extracted from the Archidopsis cell suspension cultures 2 days (lane 1) and 8 days (lanes 2 and 3) after subculture. Northern hybridization was carried out with the <sup>22</sup>P-labelled 5' regions of the different MSH genes (excluding the consensus region), and with complete ArRAD51 and bean translational elongation factor (EF) cDNAs and 28S rRNA sequences. The bottom panel shows the ethidium bromide staining pattern

These data can be correlated with AiRAD51 expression, which is higher on day 2 than day 8, as expected (Doutriaux et al. 1998). The probes used covered the 5' regions of the three genes, outside the consensus regions, and were chosen so that they would not cross-hybridize with the different MSH genes. This is confirmed by the fact that a single band of the expected size was detected with each probe.

#### Discussion

On the basis of their relationship with MSH genes from other species, we have isolated three Arabidopsis homologues of the mutS gene, which is known to be essential for the repair of DNA mismatches in E. coli. Taking into account another MSH gene encountered during the course of the Arabidopsis genome sequencing project, four MSH genes are now known in this plant species: AtMSH2, AtMSH3 and two AtMSH6 (-1 and -2). These four genes share sequence characteristics with all MSH family members. The lengths and molecular weights of the predicted proteins are similar to those of other MutS homologues. In the C-terminal region, two highly conserved motifs - the NTP-binding domain and a Helix-Turn-Helix domain - are found. From sequence comparisons, we classify them as belonging to the Msh2, Msh3 and Msh6 families. At the genomic level, the three

MSH genes we isolated are unique and are detected as single bands following digestion of genomic DNA with restriction enzymes that do not recognize any sites in the probe. Nevertheless, another MSH6 homologue exists in Arabidopsis: MSH6-1 was detected during the systematic sequencing of Arabidopsis chromosome IV (Johnson et al. 1997). This gene differs from AtMSH6-2 in terms of sequence, chromosomal location, and intron distribution; furthermore, it is not detected with a probe that includes the conserved region of AtMSH6-2.

Sequence comparisons between the conserved C-terminal regions of the Msh proteins, as well as their complete sequences, clearly allow us to designate the four AIMSH genes of Arabidopsis as belonging to the MSH2, MSH6 or MSH3 family. Such comparisons also led to other important observations. It appears that the levels of identity are much higher among the Msh2 orthologues than is the case among the Msh3 or Msh6 orthologues. It is commonly believed that the more interactions a protein is involved in, the lower its rate of evolution (Dickerson 1971) As a functional mismatch repair system relies on Msh2 binding either to Msh3 or Msh6, followed by an interaction with the Mlh1/Pms1 complex, Msh2 interacts with at least three proteins while Msh3 and Msh6 only bind to Msh2 (Prolla et al. 1994; Acharya et al. 1996). Two-hybrid experiments have also identified PCNA, Exo1 and components of the nucleotide excision repair pathway as partners of the yeast or human Msh2 (Umar et al. 1996; Tishkoff et al. 1997; Bertrand et al. 1998; Gu et al. 1998). Such an experimental approach has not been reported for Msh3 and Msh6 and therefore we cannot exclude the possibility that other proteins interact with these gene products. However, all indications suggest that Msh2 lies at the center of a complex protein network; this might therefore more severely restrict the sequence fluctuations permissible for this protein.

A phylogenetic study including all available cukaryotic Msh (2, 3 and 6) sequences confirmed the previous assignment of each of the four putative Msh proteins from Arabidopsis to the three different Msh families. The analysis of the phylogeny of the Msh proteins is complicated by the heterogeneity of evolutionary rates, which can lead to arrefacts in tree construction - all the more so when the number of nucleotides used is low. The difficulty in reconstructing Msh phylogeny is illustrated by the fact that the monophyly of fungal Msh6 sequences is only recovered when among-site rate variation is taken into account (data not shown). Variation in evolutionary rates is not only observed between paralogues but also between species within each group of paralogues (see for example the branch lengths for fungal sequences in Fig. 4). The most likely artefact is the long branch attraction phenomenon (Felsenstein 1978), which generally results in the incorrect early emergence of fast-evolving sequences (Philippe and Laurent 1998). For instance, the Msh2 sequence of Drosophila, which emerges at the base of this group - far from other Metazoa - is very likely to be misplaced because of this phenomenon. Similarly, although fungi are known to be the closest relatives of animals (Baldauf and Palmer 1993), phylogenies based on Msh have always found that animals are closely related to plants and not to fungi, which could be due to a higher rate of evolution of MMR proteins in fungi. Interestingly the same observation, i.e. an increased evolutionary rate in fungi and Drosophila, has previously been reported in a phylogenetic analysis of Rad51 (Yeager Stassen et al. 1997). Finally, the finding that two intron positions are common to both Arabidopsis MSH6 genes and that one of these is also coincident with an intron position in AIMSH3 may argue in favour of the relatedness of these two families.

The occurrence within the same species of two Msh6 homologues that evolve independently is unexpected, and may be restricted to Arabidopsis (or plants) since divergence of these two genes occurred after the separation of plants and animals. Nevertheless, one may speculate about the situation in other eukaryotes. As its genome has been completely sequenced, there is no doubt about the uniqueness of MSH6 in S. cerevisiae. Although a single MSH6 gene has been described for human and mouse, this cannot be considered definitive as long as the human genome has not been totally sequenced. Despite the considerable effort that has been devoted to the identification of all human MSH genes, MSH4 and MSH5, the meiotic mutS homologues, were discovered only recently (Paquis-Flucklinger et al. 1997; Her and Doggett 1998). Except for the particular case of Sarcophyton glaucum (Pont-Kingdon et al. 1998), no MSHI gene has yet been found in any higher eukaryote, but, in the view of the rate and spectrum of mitochondrial mutations in human cells, its existence remains questionable (Khrapo et al. 1997). In fact, the singlecopy nature of the MSH6 genes is strongly supported by the persistence of a specific and similar phenotype when Msh6 is defective in either S. cerevisiae or mammals (Marsichsky et al. 1996; Edelmann et al. 1997). Phylogenetic analysis also favour the idea that only plants have two Msh6 proteins that evolve independently. In the absence of expression or functional studies, it is clear that we cannot yet conclude that an AtMsh6-1 protein is in fact active in Arabidopsis. However, the phylogenetic analysis suggests that AtMsh6-1 is a functional protein, otherwise it would be expected to have picked up mutations in the known functional domains. Whether Msh6-2 and AtMsh6-1 coexist in the same tissues, are functionally redundant or have acquired different specialized functions will have to be assessed in the future.

The three MSH genes we describe are expressed in Arabidopsis: cDNA clones were successfully obtained and mRNAs specific to each gene were detected in Northern blot experiments. The AtMSH2, AtMSH3 and AIMSH6-2 transcripts differ in size and their estimated sizes correlate with the lengths of the cDNA sequences. All three genes are expressed in a cell suspension derived from A. thaliana - at slightly higher levels in the exponential growth phase than in the stationary phase. We

also find a much higher level of AIRAD51 transcripts in the cells on day 2 than on day 8; AtRAD51 has been shown previously to be regulated in S-phase (Doutriaux et al. 1998). Precise assessment of the phase of induction in the cell cycle would require cell synchronization. In S. cerevisiae, induction in early S-phase has been described for the MSH2 and MSH6 genes, while MSH3 transcription was found to be constitutive during the cell cycle (Kramer et al. 1996). In E. coli, MutS was also found to be depleted in stationary-phase cultures (Feng et al. 1996). Overall, these data support the idea that MSH genes are expressed at a time when cells are dividing actively and thus replicating their DNA.

Acknowledgements We thank Catherine Bergounioux, Najat Takvorian and Michael Hodges for valuable comments on the manuscript and Roland Boyer for photographic work. This work manuscript and Roland Boyer for photographic work. This work was supported in part by CNRS and Université Paris XI (M.-P. D. and H. P.), Biogemma and Danone (M.-P. D.), and in part by NSERC (J. A. and F. B.) (Canada). J. A. also wishes to acknowledge a fellowship from the Programme Canadien de Bourses de la Francophonic.

#### References

Acharya S, Wilson T, Gradia S, Kane MF, Guerrette S, Marsischky GT, Kolodner R, Fishel R (1996) hMSH2 forms specific mispair-binding complexes with hMSH3 and hMSH6. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93:13629-13634
Adachi I, Hasegawa M (1996) MOLPHY: programs for molecular phylogenetics. Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Tokyo Akiyama Y, Sato H, Yamada T, Nagasaki H, Tsuchiya A, Abe R, Yuasa Y (1997) Germ-line mutation of the hMSH6/GTBP gene in an atypical hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer kindred. Cancer Res 57:3920-3923
Axelos M, Bardot C, Liboz T, Le Van Thai A, Curie C, Lescure B

Axelos M, Burdet C, Liboz T, Le Van Thai A, Curie C, Lescure B (1989) The gene family encoding the Arabidopsis thaliana translation clongation factor BF-1 alpha: molecular cloning, characterization and expression. Mol Gen Genet 219:106-112 Axelos M, Curie C, Mazzolini L, Bardet C, Lescure B (1992) Axelos M, Curie C, Mazzolini L, Bardet C, Lescure B (1992) Axelos M, Curie C, Mazzolini L, Bardet C, Lescure B (1992) The property of the property

protocol for transient gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana protoplasts isolated from cell suspension culture. Plant Physiol Biochem 30:1-6

Baldauf SL, Palmer JD (1993) Animals and fungi are each other's

closest relatives. Science 257:74-76 Bertrand P, Tishkoff DX, Filosi N, Dasgupta R, Kolodner RD (1998) Physical interaction between components of DNA mis-match repair and nucleotide excision repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci

USA 95:14278-14283 Bhui-Kaur A, Goodman MF, Tower J (1998) DNA mismatch repair catalyzed by extracts of mitotic, postmitotic, and senescent

Drosophila tissues and involvement of mei-9 gene function for full activity. Mol Cell Biol 18:1436-1443

Bishop DK, Andersen I, Kolodner RD (1989) Specificity of mismatch repair following transformation of Saccharomyces cere-visine with heteroduplex plasmid DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 86:3713-3717

Brown TC, Jirieny J (1988) Different base/base mispairs are corrected with different efficiencies and specificities in monkey

kidney cells. Cell 54:705-711

Bureau TE, Wessler SR (1994) Stowaway: a new family of inverted-repeat elements associated with the genes of both monocoty-ledonous and dicotylenodous pants. Plant Cell 6:907-916

Casacuberta E, Casacuberta JM, Puigdomenech P, Monfort A (1998) Presence of a miniature inverted-repeat transposable element (MITEs) in the genome of Arabidopsis thallana: charac-

ement (MITEs) in the genome of Arabidopsis thallana: characterization of the Emigrani family of elements. Plant J 16:79-85

Cerovic G, Bozin D, Dimitrijevic B (1991) Mismatch-specific DNA hreakdown in nuclear extract from tobacco (Nicotiana tahacum) cullus. Plant Mol Biol 17:887-894

Church GM, Gilbert W (1984) Genomic sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81:1991-1995 Culligan KM, Hays IB (1997) DNA mismatch repair in plants. An Arabidopsis thaliana gene that predicts a protein belonging to the MSH2 subfamily of eukaryotic MutS homologs. Plant Physiol 115:833-839

Physiol 115:833-839

Cox EC (1976) Bacterial mutator genes and the control of spontaneous mutation. Annu Rev Genet 10:135-156

Datta A, Hondrix M, Lipsitch M, Ijnks-Robertson S (1997) Dual roles for DNA sequence identity and the mismatch repair system in the regulation of mitoric crossing-over in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:9757-9762

Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hicks JB (1983) A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Mol Biol Report 1:19-21

Dickerson RE (1971) The structures of evtochrome c and the rates

preparation: voision ii. Fight will had report 1.13-21
Dickerson RE (1971) The structures of cytochrome c and the rates
of molecular evolution. J Mol Evol 1:26-45
Doutriaux MP, Coureau F, White C (1998) Isolation and characterisation of the RAD51 and DMC1 homologs from Arabidopsis thallana. Mol Gen Genet 257:283-291

Drummond JT, Li GM, Longley MJ, Modrich P (1995) Isolation of an hMSH2-p160 heterodimer that restores DNA mismatch repair to tumor cells. Science 268:1909-1912

Edelmann W, Yang K, Umar A, Heyer J, Lau K, Fan K, Liedtke W, Cohen PE, Kane MF, Lipford JR, Yu N, Crouse GF, Pollard JW, Kunkel T, Lipkin M, Kolodner R, Kucherlapati R (1997) Mutation in the mismatch repair gene Msh6 causes cancer susceptibility. Cell 91:467-477

Eisen JA (1998) A phylogenomic study of the MutS family of proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 26:4291-4300
Feinstein SI, Low KB (1986) Hyper-recombining recipient strains in bacterial conjugation. Genolica 113:13-33

Felsenstein J (1978) Cases in which parsimony or compatibility methods will be positively misleading. Syst Zool 27:401-410 Feng G, Tsui HCT, Winkler ME (1996) Depletion of the cellular

amounts of the MulS and MutH methyl-directed mismatch repair proteins in stationary-phase Escherichia coli K-12 cells. J Bacteriol 178:2388-2396

Folger KR, Thomas K, Capecchi MR (1985) Efficient correction of mismatched bases in plusmid heteroduplexes injected into cul-tured mammalian cell nuclei. Mol Cell Biol 5:70-74

Genschel J, Littman SJ, Drummond JT, Modrich P (1998) Isolation of MutSbeta from human cells and comparison of the mismatch repair specificities of MutSheta and MutSalpha. J Biol Chem 273:19895-19901

Gorbalenya AE, Koonin EV (1990) Superfamily of UvrA-related NTP-binding proteins: implications for rational classification of recombinational/repair systems. J Mol Biol 213:583-591
Gu L, Hong Y, McCulloch S, Watanabe H, Li GM (1998) ATP-

dependent interaction of human mismatch repair proteins and dual role of PCNA in mismatch repair. Nucleic Acids Res

26:1173-1178
Hare JT, Tuylor JH (1985) One role for DNA methylution in

Hare JT, Taylor JH (1985) One role for DNA methylation in vertebrate cells is strand discrimination in mismatch repair. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 82:7350-7354

Her C, Doggett NA (1998) Cloning, structural characterization, and chromosomal localization of the human orthologue of the Succharomyces cerevisiae MSH5 gene. Genomics 52:50-61

Hollingsworth NM. Ponte L, Helsey C (1995) MSH5, a novel MutS homolog, facilitates meiotic reciprocal recombination between homologs in Saccharomyces ecrevisiae but not mismatch repair. Genes Dev 9:1728-1739 match repair. Genes Dev 9:1728-1739

Holmes J Jr. Clark S, Modrich P (1990) Strand-specific mis-match correction in nucleur extracts of human and Dross-phila melanogaster cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA B7:5837-5841

67:3637-3691
Johnson AF, de la Bastide M, Lodhi M, Hoffman J, Hasegawa A, Gnoj L, Gottesman T, Granat S, Hameed A, Kaplan N, Schutz K, Shohdy N, Van Keuren K, Parnell L, Dedhia N, Martienssen R, McCombic W (1997) The sequence of the Arabi-

dopsis thaliana BAC T10M13. GenBank Accession No. AF001308

Khrapo K, Coller HA, Andre PC, Li XC, Hanekamp JS, Thilly WG (1998) Mitochondrial mutational spectra in human cells and tissues. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:13798-13803

Kimura M (1983) The neutral theory of molecular evolution.

Cambridge University Press
Kishino H, Hasegawa M (1989) Evaluation of the maximum likelihood estimate of the evolutionary tree topologies from DNA sequence data, and the branching order in Hominoidea. J Mol Evol 29:170-179

Evol 29:170-179
Kolodner RD (1996) Biochemistry and genetics of eukaryotic mismatch repair genes. Genes Dev 10:1433-1442
Kramer W, Fartmann B, Ringbeck EC (1996) Transcription of mutS-and mutL-homologous genes in Saccharamyces cerevisiae during the cell cycle. Mol Gen Genet 252:275-283
Lander ES, Green P, Abrahamson J, Barlow A, Daly MJ, Lincoln SE, Noewburn L (1987) MAPMAKER: an interactive companying the construction of maps of the construction of the construc puter package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1:174–181 ster C. Dean C (1993) Recombinant inbred lines for mapping RFLP and phenotypic markers in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 4:745–750

Luhr B, Scheller J, Meyer P, Kramer W (1998) Analysis of in vivo correction of defined mismatches in the DNA mismatch repair mutants msh2, msh3 and msh6 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Gen Genct 257:362-367

Mursischky GT, Filosi N, Kane MF, Kolodner R (1996) Redundancy of Saccharomyces cerevisiae MSH3 and MSH6 in MSH2dependent mismatch repair. Genes Dev 10:407-420

Miyaki M, Konishi M, Tanaku K, Kikuchi-Yunoshita R, Muraoka M, Yasuno M, Igari T, Kolke M, Chibu M, Mori T (1997) Germline mutation of MSH6 as the cause of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer. Nature Genet 17:271-272

Modrich P, Lahue R (1996) Mismatch repair in replication fidelity, genetic recombination and cancer biology. Annu Rev Biochem

65:101-133

Ohlendorf DH, Anderson WF, Matthews BW (1983) Many generegulatory proteins appear to have a similar alpha-helical fold that binds DNA and evolved from a common precursor. J Mol Evol 19:109-114

Palombo F, Gallinari P, Iaccarino I, Lettieri T, Hughes M, D'Arrigo A, Truong O, Hsuan JJ, Jirieny J (1995) GTBP, a 160kilodalton protein essential for mismatch-binding activity in

human cells. Science 268:1912-1914

Paquis-Flucklinger V, Santucci-Darmanin S, Paul R, Turc-Carel C,
Desnuelle C (1997) Cloning and expression analysis of a meiosis-specific MutS homolog: the human MSH4 gene. Genomics 44:188-194

Parsons R, Li GM, Longley MJ, Fung WH, Papadopoulos N, Jen J, de la Chapelle A, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Modrich P (1993) Hypermutability and mismatch repair deficiency in RER + tumor cells. Ccli 75:1227-36

Philippe H (1993) MUST, a computer puckage of Management Utilities for Sequences and Trees. Nucleic Acids Res 21:5264-

Philippe H, Laurent J (1998) How good are deep phylogenetic trees? Curr Opin Genet Dev 8:616-623
Pont-Kingdon G, Okada NA, Macfartane JL, Beagley CT, Watkins-Sims CD, Cavalier-Smith T, Clark-Walker GD, Wolstenholme DR (1998) Mitochondrial DNA of the coral Succephyton glaucum contains a gene for a homologue of bacterial MutS: a possible case of gene transfer from the nuclous to the mitochondrion. J Mol Evol 46:419-431
Prolla TA, Pang Q, Alani E, Kolodner RD, Liskuy RM (1994) MLH1, PMS1, and MSH2 interactions during the initiation of DNA mismatch repair in yeust. Science 265: [091-1093]
Rayssiguier C, Thaler DS, Radman M (1989) The barrier to recombination between Escherichia coli und Salmanella typhi-

combination to disrupted in mismatch-repair mutants. Nature 342:396-401

- Recnan RA, Kolodner RD (1992a) Isolation and characterization of two Saccharomyces verevisiae genes encoding homologs of the bacterial HexA and MutS mismatch repair proteins, Genetics 132:963-973
- Reenan RA, Kolodner RD (1992b) Characterization of insertion mutations in the Saccharomyces corevisiae MSHI and MSH2 genes: evidence for separate mitochondrial and nuclearfunc-tions. Genetics 132:975-985
- uons. Geneucs 132:975-985
  Reimair AH, Schmits R, Ewel A, Bapat B, Redston M, Mitri A, Waterhouse P, Mittrücker HW, Wakcham A, Liu B, Thomason A, Griesser H, Gallinger S, Ballhausen WG, Fishel R, Mak TW (1995) MSH2-deficient mice are viable and susceptible to lymphoid tumours. Nature Genet 11:64-70
  Risinger H Ulmar A, Boyd Y, Beechuck A, Vuokal TA, Busset IG.
- Risinger JI, Umar A, Boyd J, Berchuck A, Kunkel TA, Barrett JC (1996) Mulation of MSH3 in endometrial cancer and evidence for its functional role in heteroduplex repair. Nature Genet 14:102-105
- Ross-Macdonald P, Roeder GS (1994) Mutation of a meiosisspecific MutS homolog decreases crossing over but not mismatch correction. Cell 79:1069-1080
  Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a

- Sambrook I, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a luboratory manual (2nd edn). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
   Selva EM, Now L, Crouse GF, Luhue RS (1995) Mismatch correction acts as a barrier to homeologous recombination in Saccharomyces verevisiae. Genetics 139:1175-1188
   Strimmer K, von Haeseler A (1996) Quartet puzzling: a quartet maximum likelihood method for reconstructing tree topologies. Mol Biol Evol 13:964-969
   Swofford DL (1093) PATIP: Phylogopatic Applying Using Description
- Swofford DL (1993) PAUP: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Pursi-mony. Distributed by the Illinois Natural History Survey.
- Thomas DC, Roberts ID, Kunkel TA (1991) Heteroduplex repair in extructs of human HeLu cells. J Bjot Chem 266:3744-3751

- Tishkoff DX, Boerger AL, Bertrand P, Filosi N, Gnida GM, Kane MF, Kolodner RD (1997) Identification and characterization of Sarcharomyces cerevisiae EXOI, a gene encoding an exonu-clease that interacts with MSH2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:7487-7492
- Umar A, Boyor JC, Thomas DC, Nguyen DC, Risinger JI, Boyd J, Ionov Y, Perucho M, Kunkel TA (1994) Defective mismatch repair in extracts of colorectal and endometrial cancer cell lines
- chibiting microsutellite instability. J Biol Chem 259:1-4
  Umar A, Buerneyer AB, Simon J, Thomas DC, Clark AB, Liskay
  RM, Kunkel TA (1996) Requirement for PCNA in DNA
  mismatch repair at a step preceding DNA synthesis. Cell
  27:50-514 97:505-514
- Variet 1, Canard B, Brooks P, Cerovie G, Radman M (1996) Mismatch repair in *Xenopus* egg extracts: DNA strand breaks act as signals rather than excision points. Proc Natl Acad Sci
- USA 93:10156-10161
  Vulic M, Dioniso F, Taddei F, Rudman M (1997) Molecular keys to speciation: DNA polymorphism and the control of genetic exchange in enterobacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:9763-
- De Wind N, Dokker M, Berns A, Rudman M, te Riele H (1995) Inactivation of the mouse Msh2 gene results in mismatch repair
- Inactivation of the mouse Msh2 gene results in mismatch repair deficiency, methylation tolerance, hyperrecombination, and predisposition to cancer. Cell 82:321-330

  Yang Z (1996) Among-site rate variation and its impact on phylogenetic analyses. Trends Ecol Evol 11:367-370

  Yeager Stassen N. Logsdon JM, Vora GJ, Offenberg HH, Palmer JD, Zolan ME (1997) Isolation and characterization of RADS1 orthology from Compines chargests and Lincongestion escalentum. orthologs from Coprinus cinereus and Lycopersicon esculentum, and phylogenetic analysis of eukaryotic read homologs. Curr Genet 31:144-157